United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/694,619 | 10/27/2003 | Om Dutt Tyagi | U 014868-8 | U 014868-8 2627 | |
| 140 LADAS & PA | 7590 07/06/2007 RRY | | EXAMINER | | |
| 26 WEST 61ST STREET | | | BERCH, MARK L | | |
| NEW YORK, I | NY 10023 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1624 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/06/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|-----|--|--|--|
| | | 10/694,619 | · | TYAGI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | /Mark L. Bero | :h/ | 1624 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, vill apply and will ex , cause the applicat | COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time spire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED | . sly filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 May 2007</u> . | | | | | | | |
| • == | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | epted or b) drawing(s) be h ion is required i | neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obje | 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(| d). | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 5) | Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pa Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/694,619

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/21/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A. Claim 7 is unclear. Under what conditions is the stability to be determined? For example, is the compound in a sealed container, or is it exposed to air, and if so, of what relative humidity? The traverse is unpersuasive. The declaration is unpersuasive. Declarant states: "This study was done as per the International Conference on Harmonized (ICH) guideline for stability testing of new drug substances and products (Q1A (R2)." This statement is demonstrably false, and hence the declaration cannot be relied upon. The specification, page 27, sates that the testing was done for 90 days, which is almost 3 months. However, the ICH guideline, supplied by applicants, states that the testing is done at 75%±5% RH for 6 months. Applicants clearly did not use a standard protocol, and hence

Application/Control Number: 10/694,619

Art Unit: 1624

one of ordinary skill in the art cannot determine what conditions were used and are thus the conditions of claim 7. If the testing had been done in the specification for 6 months, one of ordinary skill in the art could have assumed that the ICH standards were used, and would then have assumed that 75%±5% RH conditions were employed. However, since the conditions were not used, one of ordinary skill in the art cannot not make any such assumption.

B. The new figure of "87%" stable is not correct. Page 27 says "a drop in assay from 94% to 87%". Thus, 87% is a measure of purity, not a measure of stability. If the material had been 100% pure to begin with, then of course stability and purity would mean the same thing, but the material was only 94% pure.

Claim Objections

Claim 8 objected to because of the following informalities: The two new words are run-ons. Each should be separated into two words as is done correctly with all the other choices. Appropriate correction is required.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Mark L. Berch/ whose telephone number is 571-272-0663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 - 3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on (571)272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Mark L. Berch/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624